

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of inventor(s):

Lukas P.P.P. van Ginneken

Application No. **10/828,547**

Confirmation No. **3884**

Filing Date: **19 April 2004**

Title: **Timing Closure Methodology
Including Placement with Initial
Delay Values**

Group Art Unit: **2825**

Examiner: **Vuthe Siek**

CUSTOMER NO. 36454

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER

Sir:

The undersigned attorney of record for Applicant initiated a telephone interview with Examiner Siek on 17 February 2009. The Tsay reference (U.S. Patent No. 5,461,576) and the outstanding Office Action were discussed.

The Examiner explained that the rejection does not rely on inherency or official notice. The position is based on the Examiner's interpretation of Tsay. The Examiner expressed that he believed that the person of skill in the art would interpret the comment at column 2, lines 42-46 when read with Figure 3 of Tsay, as anticipating all claims in the application.

No agreement was reached.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 13 March 2009

/Mark A. Haynes/

Mark A. Haynes, Reg. No. 30,846

SYNOPSIS, INC., c/o
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP
P.O. Box 366
Half Moon Bay, CA 94019
(650) 712-0340 phone
(650) 712-0263 fax